

MAINE SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT

Reporter of Decisions

Decision: 2016 ME 30

Docket: Fra-15-388

Submitted

On Briefs: January 28, 2016

Decided: February 16, 2016

Corrected: May 26, 2016

Panel: ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

IN RE ~~AIDEN~~ DAKOTA K. et al.

ERRATA SHEET

The opinion of this Court certified on February 16, 2016, is amended as follows:

The caption has been corrected as follows:

IN RE ~~AIDEN~~ DAKOTA K. et al.

Paragraph 1 has been corrected as follows:

[¶1] In this consolidated appeal, the mother of ~~Aiden and Benjamin~~,⁺ Dakota K. and Trevor P. and the father of ~~Carl, Daryl, and Benjamin~~ Trevor P. and two other children appeal from a judgment of the District Court (Farmington, *Carlson, J.*) terminating their parental rights to their children pursuant to 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(A)(1)(a) and (B)(2) (2015) after a three-day trial. Regarding the mother, the court found that she is unwilling or unable to protect the children from jeopardy or take responsibility for them within a time reasonably calculated to

meet their needs, and it found that termination was in the children's best interests. *See id.* § 4055(1)(B)(2)(b)(i), (ii). Regarding the father, the court made the same findings and additionally found that he had failed to make a good faith effort to rehabilitate and reunify with his children. *See id.* § 4055(B)(2)(b)(iv).

Footnote 1 has been corrected as follows:

⁺~~A pseudonyms is used herein to respect the privacy of the child.~~

Footnote 2 has been renumbered as follows:

² ¹ Although neither party is statutorily required to do so, the Department or a parent may request a case management conference to address reunification disagreements if an informal conference between all parties fails to resolve the issues. 22 M.R.S. § 4041(1-A)(A)(4) (2015).

The original opinion on the Judicial Branch website has been replaced with the opinion as corrected.